Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9171 Filed 11/09/10 Entered 11/09/10 11:09:53 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST	DEFENDANTS DOUGLAS T. MOORE			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2 nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300	ATTORM	NEYS (If Known)		
PARTY (Check One Box Only) Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin Creditor Other Trustee	PARTY (Debtor Creditor Trustee	or Other		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE Avoidance and Recovery of Fraudulent Transfers and Objection to		, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)		
NATURE (F SUIT			
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) 61-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury 63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan 64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) 65-Dischargeability - other			
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other			
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)		8) Subordination of Claim or Interest redination of claim or interest		
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation		9) Declaratory Judgment ratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability 66-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims 62-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud 67-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny (continued next column)	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)			
Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	1,646,005		
Other Relief Sought				

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9171 Filed 11/09/10 Entered 11/09/10 11:09:53 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 12

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES					
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.			
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. et al.		08-35653(KRH)			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA		RICHMOND	HUENNEKENS		
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)					
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY		
			PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)					
/s/ Paula S. Beran					
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)			
November 8, 2010		Paula S. Beran	,		

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100

Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700

Counsel to Plaintiff

Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Alfred H. Siegel, Trustee of the Circuit City Stores,

Inc. Liquidating Trust

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219

Telephone: (804) 783-8300 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

Counsel to Plaintiff
Alfred H. Siegel, Trustee of the Circuit City
Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,) Chapter 11
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,)) Adv. Pro. No. 10
Plaintiff,)
v.)
DOUGLAS T. MOORE,)
Defendant.)

THE LIQUIDATING TRUST'S COMPLAINT
TO AVOID AND RECOVER FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS AND OBJECTION
TO CLAIM

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint to avoid and recover fraudulent transfers against Douglas T. Moore ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"), which was established by virtue of the Plan. Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.
- 2. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors") were corporations organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia with a principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. ¹
- 3. Upon information and belief, defendant Douglas T. Moore was a former executive employee of Circuit City.

The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 5. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 6. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 544, 548 and 550 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

General Case Background

- 7. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 8. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 9. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.

- 10. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Official Committee of Creditors
 Holding General Unsecured Claims filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the
 Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy
 Code.
- 11. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010, as reflected in the Notice of Effective Date filed on that date.

The Employment Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

- 12. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 13. Defendant was an executive employee of the Debtors, who held the position of Senior Vice President, Chief Merch Officer when terminated from the Debtors' employ on March 22, 2007. Defendant was hired by the Debtors on August 14, 1990, and was released, from active service, on February 5, 2007. Defendant thereafter performed no services for the Debtors.

Transfers To Defendant Made Within Four Years of the Petition Date

14. During the four years prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases, the Debtors transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,646,005. A list identifying each and every transfer from the Debtors to the Defendant during this time period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9171 Filed 11/09/10 Entered 11/09/10 11:09:53 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 12

by reference (collectively, the "Transfers"). The Transfers were made for the purpose of compensation after the Defendant's release from active service, primarily for severance. Defendant did not perform any services or otherwise provide any value to the Debtors in exchange for the Transfers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (For Avoidance Of Fraudulent Transfers -- 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548; Va. Code Ann. § 55-80 and 55-81)

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the above paragraphs as though fully set forth at length.
- 16. During the four years prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors made the Transfers to Defendant of approximately \$1,645,000, primarily for purposes of severance.

 Defendant did not perform any services or otherwise provide any value, much less reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers.
- 17. The Debtors (a) were insolvent at the time of each of the Transfers or became insolvent as a result thereof; (b) were engaged in business or a transaction, or were about to become so engaged, for which any property remaining with Debtors was an unreasonably small capital; (c) intended to incur, or believed that they would incur debts beyond their ability to pay as such debts matured; or (d) made the Transfers to or for the benefit of the Defendant as an insider under an employment contract not in the ordinary course of business.
- 18. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548 that the Transfers be avoided in their entirety.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Recovery Of Property -- 11 U.S.C. § 550)

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in the above paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. As alleged above, Plaintiff is entitled to avoid each of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548. As the Defendant is the initial transferee of the Transfers, Plaintiff is entitled to recover for the estate the proceeds or value of each of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 550.
- 21. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. § 550 that the proceeds or value of each of the Transfers is recovered for the benefit of the estate.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Objection to Claim No. 6571 –Disallowance Under Section 502(d))

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant filed a proof of claim against the Debtors' estate in the amount of \$300,000 (the "Claim"). The Claim was assigned number 6571 by the court-appointed claims agent. The Claim asserts a right to payment allegedly owed to the Defendant by one or more of the Debtors for additional severance.
- 24. As alleged above, each Fraudulent Transfer constitutes an avoidable transfer pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 544 and 548, which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 550.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9171 Filed 11/09/10 Entered 11/09/10 11:09:53 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 12

25. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), the Claim should be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trust an amount equal to each Fraudulent Transfer that has been challenged by this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For a determination that each of the Transfers is avoidable as fraudulent transfers under Sections 544 and 548 of the Bankruptcy Code, and that Plaintiff is entitled to recover the Transfers under Section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code;
- 2. For a judgment disallowing the Claims in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trust of any avoidable and recoverable transfers;
 - 3. For costs of suit incurred herein, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees;
- 4. For pre- and post-judgment interest on the judgment amount to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law; and
 - 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 8, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

_____/s/ Paula S. Beran Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Plaintiff Alfred Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust

EXHIBIT A